

TECH-NOTES

USING MICROSTRUCTURAL ANALYSIS TO SOLVE PRACTICAL PROBLEMS

*Written by:
George Vander Voort
Director, Research
and Technology,
Buehler Ltd.
Lake Bluff, Illinois
USA*



Metallographic Preparation for Electron Backscattered Diffraction

Electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD) is performed with the scanning electron microscope (SEM) to provide a wide range of analytical data; e.g., crystallographic orientation studies, phase identification and grain size measurements. A diffraction pattern can be obtained in less than a second, but pattern quality is improved by utilizing a longer scan time. Grain mapping requires development of diffraction patterns at each pixel in the field and is a slower process. The quality of the diffraction pattern, which influences the confidence of the indexing of the diffraction pattern, depends upon removal of damage in the lattice due to specimen preparation. It has been claimed that removal of this damage can only be obtained using electrolytic polishing or ion-beam polishing. However, the use of modern mechanical preparation methods, equipment and consumables does yield excellent quality diffraction patterns without use of dangerous electrolytes and the problems and limitations associated with electropolishing and ion-beam polishing. Basically, if mechanical preparation results in quality polarized light images of non-cubic crystal structure elements and alloys (e.g., Sb, Be, Hf, α -Ti, Zn, Zr), or color tint etching of cubic, or non-cubic crystal structure elements or alloys produces high-quality color images, then the surface is free of harmful residual preparation damage and EBSD patterns with high pattern quality indexes will be obtained. Because of the acute angle between the specimen and the electron beam (70 – 74°), exceptional surface flatness is also necessary for best results.

Polarized light image quality is dependent upon the elimination of preparation damage and upon the quality of the microscope optics [1]. Consequently, always check the polarized light response of metals that will respond to polarized light, to verify preparation quality before performing EBSD. For cubic metals, etch first with a general-purpose reagent to confirm the nature of the expected microstructure. Then, repeat the final polishing step and use a color tint etch [1,2] to verify freedom from damage. EBSD is best performed with an as-polished, non-etched specimen due to the steep angle to

the electron beam, as surface roughness from etching will degrade the diffraction pattern. A well-prepared, un-etched specimen will exhibit a good grain-contrast image with a backscattered electron detector [3]; another good test for freedom from surface damage.

Development of Preparation Methods

Specimen preparation methods for metals and alloys have been developed [4] that yield excellent results using straightforward methods that generally require less than about twenty-five minutes. High-purity metals require more preparation time than alloys. Automated preparation equipment is recommended, as the methods will be performed accurately and reproducibly. Manual (“hand”) preparation cannot produce flatness, phase retention and damage removal as easily as automated processing and is less reproducible.

Successful preparation requires that sectioning be performed with equipment and consumables that minimize damage. Sectioning is a violent process and it can introduce massive damage. Crystal structure does influence damage depth; face-centered cubic metals exhibit greater damage than body-centered cubic metals for the same preparation procedure because fcc metals slip more readily than bcc metals. Use only abrasive blades designed for metallography that are recommended for the specific metal/alloy in question. A precision saw yields even less damage as the blades are much thinner and the applied loads are much lower. Cutting with machines and blades/wheels that introduce minimal damage is the most critical step in generating damage-free metallographic surfaces; this cannot be over-emphasized. Then, commence grinding with the finest possible abrasive and surface that will make all of the specimens in the holder co-planar and remove the sectioning damage in reasonable time. This is the second critical rule for obtaining damage-free polished surfaces. The proposed methods utilize flat, woven cloths or pads that minimize relief problems. To minimize damage, use less aggressive surfaces, such as silk, nylon, polyester or polyurethane. The specimen



BUEHLER

preparation method must remove all scratches. If scratches are present, so too is damage below the scratch. Scratch depths produced in grinding and polishing are not uniform. A deep scratch will have deep deformation below it. The preparation method must remove the scratches and the underlying damage in order to obtain high quality EBSD patterns.

The experiments discussed here cover a wide variety of metals and alloys prepared mechanically using three to five steps. The EBSD patterns shown were developed using both the EDAX-TSL and Oxford Instruments HKL systems on a variety of scanning electron microscopes (SEM) using tungsten, LaB₆, and field emission electron sources. The plane-of-polish was oriented between 70 and 74° from horizontal, depending upon the system used. The TSL system generates pattern quality indexes, PQI, and the results shown here are the average and 95% confidence limits for 25 randomly selected grains using unetched specimens. The high-purity metallic samples were analyzed using the HKL Channel 5 EBSD system. These patterns were evaluated using the band contrast data, with the average and standard deviation calculated for a number of measurements. Several cast specimens had very large grains, so only a few different EBSD patterns could be obtained. The silicon specimen was a single crystal so all patterns were basically identical.

Results

The first examples presented will be a wrought, cold worked, high-purity (99.999%) aluminum and an Al – 7.12 % Si casting alloy. Al is a difficult EBSD subject as the low atomic number is inefficient in generating backscattered electrons. High-purity metals are always far more difficult to prepare than commercial-purity metals while alloys are simpler to prepare. EBSD patterns will be more difficult to generate on a wrought, non-recrystallized, cold worked specimen due to the resulting distortion of the crystal lattice. So, combining both the high-purity and non-recrystallized conditions makes for an extreme test of the preparation method. The table below presents the test method used, except that the specimen in this case was not subjected to a vibratory polish after use of the five-step preparation method. The band contrast value averaged 151.1. It is our experience, as discussed below, that using a 20-minute vibratory polish after the standard preparation cycle will improve the band contrast at least 10%. Somewhat longer times will yield further improvements. When developing grain maps, maximizing the band contrast, or the pattern quality index, pro-

duces greater confidence in indexing; this is vital when indexing several hundred points per second.

Shown in Figure 1 is the cold worked microstructure of the high-purity aluminum specimen.

The next example is the as-cast Al –7.12% Si alloy, prepared by the same five-step method, but with only 4 minutes for the 3- μ m step, and without vibratory polishing. The as-cast microstructure consists of α -Al dendrites and a eutectic of α -Al and Si. The α -Al dendrites were sampled for the EBSD patterns. As can be seen in Figure 2, an excellent quality diffraction pattern was obtained from the alpha-Al dendrites. Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate that mechanical preparation is capable of producing high quality EBSD patterns when properly performed.

Pure copper is extremely ductile and malleable. Copper and its alloys come in a wide range of compositions, including several variants of nearly pure copper for electrical applications that are very difficult to prepare damage free. Rough sectioning and grinding practices can easily damage copper and its alloys and the depth of damage can be substantial. Scratch removal, particularly for pure copper and brass alloys, can be very difficult. If the scratches are not removed, there will be damage beneath. Following the preparation cycle with a brief vibratory polish using colloidal silica is very helpful for scratch and damage removal. Attack polishing additions have been used in the past to improve scratch removal but are not necessary using the contemporary method followed by vibratory polishing.

Table 2 provides a five-step method for preparing copper and its alloys (vibratory polishing is an optional 6th step). It is always helpful, particularly with alloys that are difficult to prepare damage free, to etch the specimen after the fifth step, and then repeat the fifth step. This reduces damage and gives better EBSD patterns. Figure 3 shows a combined EBSD grain orientation map plus index of quality map for tough-pitch copper (Cu with about 400 ppm oxygen) which reveals the grain structure and annealing twins. Figure 3 also shows the map after twins have been removed. Note that a few twins remained after image processing that will be removed if the boundary angle requirement for a twin is made slightly greater. This specimen was not etched. Figure 4 shows the specimen after etching for comparison. Measurement of grain size in twinned Cu and its alloys is nearly impossible by light microscopy image analysis due to the inability to reveal all of the grain boundaries and twin boundaries, except by color etching.

Table 1. Preparation Method for High-Purity Aluminum

Surface	Abrasive Size	Load Lb (N)	Platen Speed/Direction	Time (min.)
CarbiMet® Discs	240-grit (P280) SiC water cooled	5 (22)	240 rpm Contra	1 per sheet
UltraPol™ Silk Polishing Cloth	9- μ m MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions*	5 (22)	150 rpm Contra	5
TriDent™ Polyester Polishing Cloth	3- μ m MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions*	5 (22)	150 rpm Contra	5
TriDent™ Polyester Polishing Cloth	1- μ m MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions*	5 (22)	150 rpm Contra	3
MicroCloth® Polishing Cloth	0.05- μ m MasterMet® Colloidal Silica Suspension	5 (22)	150 rpm Contra	3
MicroCloth® Polishing Cloth	0.05- μ m MasterMet® Colloidal Silica Suspension	-	VibroMet® 2 Vibratory Polisher	≥20

* Add MetaDi® Fluid lubricant (charge with paste and MetaDi® Fluid, then add MetaDi® Supreme Suspension during the cycle)




Figure 1: Microstructure of cold worked 99.999% Al; top: Keller's reagent, Nomarski DIC; bottom: Barker's reagent, 20 V dc, 2 minutes, polarized light plus sensitive tint.





Figure 2: top: EBSD pattern for α -Al in as-cast Al - 7.12% Si - pattern quality index: 87 ± 4.2 ; bottom: light micrograph of as-cast Al-7.12% hypoeutectic alloy etched with 0.5% HF in water.

EcoMet® 250/300 Grinder-Polisher & AutoMet® 250/300 Power Head



- Choice of 8" (203mm), 10" (254mm) or 12" (305mm) Diameter Platen
- Variable Speed Base Platen and Power Head
- Sealed Membrane Keypad or touch-screen with User-Friendly Controls
- Durable Cast Aluminum Construction
- Stain, Chip and Corrosion Resistant Finish
- Unique LED Lighting Illuminates Platen, Bowl and Specimens
- High Torque Motors for Large and Multiple Specimen Preparation
- Retractable Water Hose and 360° Bowl Rinse System
- EcoMet® 250/300 Grinder-Polisher Base Only for Manual Preparation
- Upgrade to Semi-Automatic Preparation with AutoMet® 250/300 Power Head
- Repeatable Sample Preparation Every Time

Figure 5 shows an EBSD pattern and the microstructure of wrought cartridge brass, Cu – 30% Zn, that was cold reduced 50% in thickness and then annealed at 704 °C for 30 minutes producing a coarse twinned α -Cu matrix. This is a relatively difficult alloy to prepare free of scratches and surface damage and the EBSD pattern quality was superb. The method shown in Table 2 was utilized to prepare this specimen except that the times for the 3- and 1- μ m steps were 4 and 3 minutes, respectively, followed by a 30 minute vibratory polish.

EBSD patterns can be developed for both phases in a two-phase alloy, as long as preparation keeps both phases flat on the plane-of-polish. If relief is present, such that one phase is recessed below the surface, EBSD patterns will not be developed. As an example, a specimen of Naval Brass, an α - β brass consisting of Cu – 39.7% Zn – 0.8% Sn, was tested after etching which attacked the β phase. EBSD patterns could be generated from the α phase, but not from the recessed β phase. Re-polishing and running the specimen unetched produced excellent results for both the α and β phases as shown in Figure 6. The specimen was prepared in the same manner as used for the cartridge brass specimen.

EBSD maps can be made using a number of techniques. Figure 7 shows a grain orientation map, an index of quality map, the combination of these two maps, and a grain-orientation map where the colors have been assigned based on crystal orientation using an inverse pole figure.

Perhaps the most difficult metals and alloys to prepare for EBSD have been zirconium and its alloys. Numerous approaches have been tried. Table 3 presents the method used that yielded excellent grain maps of high-purity Zr and Zr alloys. The SiC paper was coated with paraffin wax before grinding. Final polishing was performed using a 5 to 1 ratio of colloidal silica to hydrogen peroxide (30% conc.). In this experiment, the vibratory step was used (30 minutes).

Figure 8 shows two maps of high-purity (99.99%), annealed Zr. The first was constructed by combining an all Euler angles grain map with a band contrast map; the second shows an inverse pole figure map, plus grain boundaries, with the grains with missing pixels (black spots in the first map) filled in. The band contrast averaged 92.34 for the area shown.

Six specimens were evaluated after our standard preparation method and then after a subsequent 20 minute vibratory polish to determine the degree of improvement that can be obtained.




Figure 3: EBSD grain orientation maps plus index of quality maps for tough-pitch copper; top: maps with twins; bottom: maps after twins were removed.

If the method used to prepare the specimens is not as good as what was used in our work, then the vibratory polish will produce a greater improvement. Longer times will also yield greater improvements. Table 4 summarizes these test results. Vibratory polishing improved the band contrast of the first five elements tried by an average of 11.1%; patterns could not be obtained with lead without a vibratory polish.

Table 5 summarizes PQI results for a number of metals and alloys evaluated, many of which are difficult to prepare. These results clearly show that mechanical specimen preparation, if properly performed, is fully capable of producing damage-free surfaces that yield acceptable EBSD patterns that can be indexed reliably. The Ni-based superalloys (Carpenter's Custom Age 625 Plus and

Table 2. Preparation Method for High-Purity Copper

Surface	Abrasive Size	Load Lb (N)	Platen Speed/Direction	Time (min.)
CarbiMet® Discs	240-grit (P280) SiC water cooled	6 (27)	240 rpm Contra	Until Plane
UltraPol™ or TriDent™ Polishing Cloth	9- μ m MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions*	6 (27)	150 rpm Contra	5
TriDent™ or TexMet® Polishing Cloth	3- μ m MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions*	6 (27)	150 rpm Contra	5
TriDent™ or TexMet® Polishing Cloth	1- μ m MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions*	6 (27)	150 rpm Contra	4
MicroCloth® or ChemoMet® Polishing Pads	0.05- μ m MasterMet® Colloidal Silica Suspension	6 (27) (7 lb/31 N for ChemoMet®)	150 rpm Contra	3
MicroCloth® Polishing Cloth	0.05- μ m MasterMet® Colloidal Silica Suspension	-	VibroMet® 2 Vibratory Polisher	≥20

* Add MetaDi® Fluid lubricant (charge with paste and MetaDi® Fluid, then add MetaDi® Supreme Suspension during the cycle)




Figure 4: Microstructure of wrought, annealed tough-pitch copper; top: etched with equal parts ammonium hydroxide and hydrogen peroxide (3% conc); bottom: Beraha's PbS tint etch, polarized light plus sensitive tint illumination.




Figure 5: EBSD pattern and microstructure of cartridge brass: top: EBSD pattern for Cu - 30% Zn - PQI: 221 ± 8.6 ; bottom: microstructure of wrought, annealed Cu - 30% Zn etched with equal parts hydrogen peroxide (3%) and ammonium hydroxide.

the fine-grained 718) contained sub-microscopic strengthening phases (the latter also contained copious delta phase) that make the EBSD analyses more difficult. The pure tantalum specimen was a P/M specimen that was not fully dense.






Figure 6: EBSD patterns and microstructure of Naval Brass; top and center: EBSD patterns for the alpha and beta phase with PQIs of 118.5 ± 8.7 for α -Cu and 150.4 ± 20.7 for β -Cu; bottom: microstructure after etching with 100 mL water, 3 g ammonium persulfate, 1 mL ammonium hydroxide (α -Cu is the continuous phase).

The second set of experiments evaluated the band contrast of eighteen (18) high-purity (generally >99.95%) specimens prepared using methods given above, or similar methods, usually with five steps (four for Ti). These specimens varied from Mg (atomic number 12) to Bi (atomic number 83) and covered the range of metallic crystal structures: body-centered cubic (6), face-centered cubic (4), hexagonal close-packed (5), diamond cubic (1) and rhombohedral/trigonal (2). Table 6 lists the specimens prepared using our standard methods and BC results. Results for six of these after vibratory polishing are shown in Table 4.

Specimens of pure Sb, V and Zr are susceptible to SiC embedment, even though the grit size was coarse, e.g., 240- and 320-grit. Hence, grinding was performed after coating the paper with paraffin wax. Attack polishing was used, mainly with 30% conc. H₂O₂, for the last step for preparing Cr, Nb, Ti, W and Zr.







Figure 7: Various EBSD maps for the Naval Brass specimen. A) Grain-orientation map. B) Index of quality map. C) Grain-orientation + Index of quality maps. D) Inverse pole figure map.

MasterMet® colloidal silica was used for the last step, except for preparing Fe (MasterPrep® alumina was used) and Mg (water-free MasterPolish® was used). Oil-based diamond suspensions (9-, 3- and 1-μm) were used to prepare the high-purity (99.999%) Mg. For the Bi and Pb pure specimens, grinding used four steps: 240-, 320-, 400- and 600-grit SiC paper coated with paraffin wax with low loads, followed by three polishing steps using 5-, 1- and 0.3-μm alumina slurries and a final polish with MasterMet® colloidal silica. All polishing steps used MicroCloth® synthetic suede cloth. Although the Bi produced an excellent EBSD pattern, none was obtained with the pure Pb specimen. A one-hour vibratory polish with MasterMet® colloidal silica using a MicroCloth® pad was required to obtain a diffraction pattern for Pb.

A two-minute chemical polish is normally used after mechanical polishing of Zr; so EBSD was conducted on a second specimen after chemical polishing. Surprisingly, no pattern could be obtained on the chemically polished specimen. The chemical polish improved polarized light response but introduced grain faceting (excessive relief). It has been reported that using heavy pressure with the same chemical polish minimized relief and yielded good EBSD grain maps. The result for pure Zr in Table 6 was obtained on the same specimen as illustrated above in Figure 7, but after an earlier preparation attempt with a less effective preparation method than presented in Table 3. The average band contrast for the high-purity Zr specimen using the method in Table 3 was 92.34 and ~90% of the pixels produced indexable diffraction patterns. For the results published in Table 6, the average band contrast was 77.3 and only about 20% of the pixels yielded indexable diffraction patterns.

Details on the preparation methods used to prepare these alloys can be obtained from the author or at the web site: <http://www.buehler.com>.





Figure 8: Two examples of grain maps for high-purity (99.99%) Zr; top: All Euler Angles + Band Contrast map; bottom: Inverse Pole Figure plus grain boundaries (completed grains).

Table 3. Preparation Method for High-Purity Zr and Zr Alloys

Surface	Abrasive Size	Load Lb (N)	Platen Speed/Direction	Time (min.)
CarbiMet® Discs	240-grit (P280) SiC water cooled*	5 (22)	240 rpm Contra	Until Plane
CarbiMet® Discs	320-grit (P400) SiC water cooled*	5 (22)	240 rpm Contra	1
UltraPol™ Polishing Cloth	9-μm MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions**	6 (27)	200 rpm Contra	10
TriDent™ Polishing Cloth	3-μm MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions**	6 (27)	200 rpm Contra	7
TriDent™ Polishing Pads	1-μm MetaDi® Diamond Suspensions**	6 (27)	200 rpm Contra	5
MicroCloth® Polishing Cloth	0.05-μm MasterMet® Colloidal Silica Suspension	6 (27)	200 rpm Contra	7
MicroCloth® Polishing Cloth	0.05-μm MasterMet® Colloidal Silica Suspension	-	VibroMet® 2 Vibratory Polisher	≥20

* Coat SiC paper with paraffin before grinding.
** Add MetaDi® Fluid lubricant (charge with paste and MetaDi® Fluid, then add MetaDi® Supreme Suspension during the cycle)

Table 4. Band Contrast Improvement Due to Vibratory Polishing (20 min.*)

High-Purity Element	Mean Band Contrast (0 to 255)	
	Standard Method	Standard + Vibratory Polish
Mg	161.2	175.25 (+8.7%)
Si (single crystal)	205.75	233 (+13.2%)
Ti	134.0	146.2 (+9.1%)
Ni	85.0	102.8 (+20.9%)
Nb	145.6	151.2 (+3.8%)
Pb*	no visible EBSD pattern	108.0

* A 60 minute vibratory polish was used for the lead specimen.

Table 5. Pattern Quality Index Values for Various Metals and Alloys

Metal/Alloy	PQI±95% CL	Metal/Alloy	PQI±95% CL
α-Al in Al-7.12% Si	87 ± 4.2	α-Cu in Cu-30% Zn	221 ± 8.6
Cu-39.7% Zn-0.8% Sn	118.5 ± 8.7 for α	Cu-39.7% Zn-0.8% Sn	150.4 ± 20.7 for β
Elgiloy (Co-based)	221.4 ± 7.4	Pure Fe (annealed)	249.6 ± 5.5
Si Core Fe B	199.9 ± 7.4	316 Stainless Steel	184.9 ± 8.5
2205 Duplex SS	248 ± 15.4 for α	2205 Duplex SS	207.9 ± 11 for γ
Ni-200	176.3 ± 17.6	HyMu 80 (Ni-base)	196.7 ± 7.2
Nitinol (Ni-Ti)	58.7 ± 4.3	CA625 Plus (Ni-base)	200.5 ± 6.5
Fine Grain 718 (Ni-base)	80.7 ± 4.4	Pure Cr	259.8 ± 13.1
Pure Nb	166.2 ± 17.1	Pure V	125.9 ± 10.3
Pure Ta	169.7 ± 13.0	CP Ti ASTM F67 Gr2	119.1 ± 4.1
W in W-27 Cu	296.9 ± 20.1	Pure Bi	86.2 ± 1.8
Pure Pb	49.3 ± 3.0	Pure Ru	266.2 ± 21.8

Table 6. Band Contrast Values for 18 Pure Metals

High-Purity Elements	Atomic Number	Crystal Structure	Band Contrast (0-255)
Mg	12	hcp	161.2
Al*	13	fcc	151.2
Si	14	diamond cubic	205.8
Ti	22	hcp	134.0
V	23	bcc	102.2
Cr	24	bcc	88.3
Fe*	26	bcc	105.4
Ni	28	fcc	85.0
Cu	29	fcc	122.6
Zn	30	hcp	170.8
Zr	40	hcp	77.3
Nb	41	bcc	145.6
Ru	44	hcp	66.0
Sb	51	rhombohedral	180.2
Ta	73	bcc	122.8
W	74	bcc	91.6
Pb	82	fcc	108.0**
Bi	83	rhomb./trigonal	255.0

* Cold worked

** Results after vibratory polishing, as the band contrast was zero after the standard preparation cycle.

References

[1]. G. F. Vander Voort, **Metallography: Principles and Practice**, ASM International, Materials Park, OH, 1999; originally published by McGraw-Hill Book Co., NY, 1984.

[2]. G. F. Vander Voort, "Color Metallography," Vol. 9 ASM Handbook, **Metallography and Microstructures**, G. F. Vander Voort, ed., ASM International, Materials Park, OH, 2004, pp.493-512.

[3]. G. F. Vander Voort, "The SEM as a Metallographic Tool," **Applied Metallography**, G. F. Vander Voort, ed., Van Nostrand Reinhold Publishing Co., Inc., NY, 1986, pp. 139-170.

[4]. G. F. Vander Voort, et al., **Buehler's Guide to Materials Preparation**, Buehler Ltd, Lake Bluff, IL, 2004, 135 pgs.

If you have a question that you'd like to see answered, or a tip that you feel would benefit our readers, please write, call or fax to:

BUEHLER LTD.

George Vander Voort

E-mail address: George.Vandervoort@buehler.com
41 Waukegan Road • Lake Bluff, Illinois • 60044
Tel: (847) 295-6500 • Fax: (847) 295-7942
Web Site: <http://www.buehler.com>
1-800 BUEHLER (1-800-283-4537)

BUEHLER GMBH

Patrick Voos

E-mail address: Patrick.Voos@buehler-met.de
In der Steele 2 • Am Schönenkamp D-40599
Düsseldorf • Germany
Tel: (49) (0211) 9741018 • Fax: (49) (0211) 9741079
Web Site: <http://www.buehler-met.de>

BUEHLER UNITED KINGDOM

Mike Keeble

E-mail: Mikekeeble@buehler.co.uk
Tel: (+44) (0) 800 707 6273
Fax: (+44) (0) 800 707 6274
Web Site: <http://www.buehler.co.uk>

BUEHLER FRANCE

Damien Crozet

E-mail: d.crozet@buehler.fr
Tel: (0) (800) 89 73 71 • Fax: (0) (800) 88 05 27
Web Site: <http://www.buehler.fr>

BUEHLER ASIA

Benny Leung

E-mail address: benny.leung@buehler.com.hk
Room 3, 5/F Vogue Centre
696 Castle Peak Road
Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon, Hong Kong